

# The Italian Experiences of the SNA Programme on the Role of the Senior Civil Service in Building a Culture of Integrity

Presentation to the OECD Working Party of Senior Public Integrity Officials (SPIO) In the framework of the OECD Integrity Week Paris, April 18<sup>th</sup> 2016 by Dario Quintavalle, SNA Alumni

Good afternoon, and thank you very much for the invite to this working party.

My name is Dario Quintavalle, I am a member of the Italian Senior Executive Service and I'm here on behalf of the Italian National School of Government (SNA) Alumni Association.

If I were a novelist, and I should write a book about the Italian Civil Service, I would title it after Jane Austen as: *"Little Pride, and Lot of Prejudice"*, as it seems *"a truth universally acknowledged"*, that civil servants are too many, too well paid, and deliver too little, and too bad.

It is, indeed, not the best of the moments to be a public servant in my country: we are deep into a crisis of legitimacy - which partly explains the low ranking of Italy in the Transparency International Corruption Perception Index.

Civil servants are not seen as partners for growth; not as an asset, but as a cost.

The workforce is ageing; prestige and motivation are low.

This is to say, that I'm not going to sell you a full success story, but to deliver a message that there are - notwithstanding - *seeds and germs* that are operating in the system to change how it is perceived by the general public - and that they need *encouragement*.

# 1. Integrity from Individual to Systemic problem

I would like to deliver a simple message: *a culture of integrity is a part of the culture of the State,* and that a culture of the state is better fostered by a merit-based career civil service.



Is not just about personal honesty and ethics, it is about organisation.

Therefore, the recruitment, the training of public officials, guarantees of tenure, and autonomy from the political power, are *not* secondary issues.

25 years ago, the whole Italian political system collapsed - like a castle of cards - under a major corruption investigation, called "Clean Hands".

The scandal was not about isolated "rotten apples" but about an environment that fostered and encouraged illicit behaviours.

It showed that corruption has a systemic nature.

We are now aware of the direct connection between phenomena like: tax evasion, corruption, organized crime.

It was then necessary to rebuild the State and its relationship with the citizen. The scandal opened a season of refreshing reforms - by cutting red tape, and simplifying legislation.

#### 2. The Fast Stream Programme at SNA

In order to foster such reforms, the National School of Government was tasked to recruit and train young senior civil servants, under a Fast Stream Programme formula.

The programme is an highly selective national exam, leading to a 18-months training, including a 6months internship, often at the European Commission or other international bodies. The training includes corruption and transparency issues.

Since 1998 National School of Government held 6 classes.

Fast Stream graduated many under-30s, who entered Civil Service with a Senior rank and management responsibilities, in an ageing administration were the average is over 50.

Fast Streamers are now posted in all Ministries and other agencies.

Although it was designed for the central government, some local governments, ravaged by corruption scandals, tapped into the Fast Stream pool, to fill their *top* positions, and foster reform programs.

It is worth mentioning that such careers achievements uniquely stem from the inherent value of the SNA trainees: being a Fast Streamer – under the current legislation – is no preferential title to appointments to key positions.



# 3. The SNA Alumni Association

An Alumni Association was established in 2000 as a bottom-up initiative.

Since then we have been active in building a network so to establish an *esprit de corps* among Senior Executives graduated at SNA, strengthening social and professional ties.

The promotion of Public ethics has been one of our core values since then. We launched our own Code of Ethics<sup>1</sup> and promoted the publication of CVs and wages, many years before this was made compulsory by law.

We also promoted the initiative for "A Freedom of Information Act in Italy", which is going to become a law.

As a result, we were admitted into the United Nations Global Compact initiative on Anti-Corruption.

We are also a federated body of Transparency International Italia.

Today, 500 out of the 3000 Senior Civil Servants of Italy – and all the younger ones – are Fast Streamers graduated at SNA.

Alumni promote a Senior Civil Service which is Professional and Self-Conscious, Citizen-oriented, Career- and merit-based, Autonomous from political interference, Integrity-Aware.

# 4. One more reform needed

In the past 20 years almost every government felt the *urge* to reform the Senior Civil Service.

The last one, the "Riforma Madia<sup>2</sup>", establishes a "Public Management System", with uniform access requirements and recruitment procedures. This is a good step forward.

However, one key issue was left untouched: the spoils system of appointment to key senior positions.

Too often, in the past, politics favoured fidelity over autonomy and competency.

Our Constitution *clearly states* that: "Public Administration jobs are entered through competitive and merit-based exams<sup>3</sup>".

http://www.allievisspa.it/wp-content/uploads/2012/05/Codice-deontologico-della-dirigenza-AllieviSSPA.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Marianna Madìa is Public Administration Minister in the Renzi Government

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Art. 97.4



However, this provision was always considered as covering entry-level positions only, and not career advancements.

May I ask then, why a merit system is good to hire a secretary, and not to appoint a Director General? If Civil Servants are to be accountable, shouldn't they first account for the way they were appointed?

Career advancements by political appointment demotivate dedicated civil servants, frustrate their efforts - in the end leading the Public Administration to losing potential and capacity.

Recent Italian history is there to show that the spoils system-based appointment is an open backdoor to patronage and corruption.

Indeed, most major corruption scandals took place where dedicated career civil servants where taken over by political appointees.

This is a strong case for *more* autonomy of the Administration from political influence. There is a *direct and visible* connection between merit-based appointment, and effectiveness and integrity in decision-making.

SNA Alumni therefore advocate the creation of a Competency Management Framework so to foster transparency and competition in appointments.

Let's put the Right Person in the Right Place! And let's do it transparently!

# 5. Conclusion

It is not clear, now, what will be the role of SNA in the future development of the "Madia reform" and if the Fast Stream model will be maintained.

We think SNA can play an important role in fostering a unified Senior Civil Servant curriculum, and in enhancing its profile.

It is not necessary to reinvent the wheel. Rather, we should build on positive experiences.

SNA Fast Stream stands as a best practice, and shows that a "*strong*" Senior Civil Service - where strict recruitment criteria, a serious initial and continuous training, mobility at national and European level, strong professional ties, and acute role-awareness - may act as an umbrella against undue political and economic influence.

Thank you for your kind attention, I'm open to your remarks.